arXiv:1611.04743v1 [q-bio.QM] 15 Nov 2016

DistributedFBA.jl: High-level, high-performance flux balance analysis in Julia

Laurent Heirendt, Ronan M.T. Fleming, Ines Thiele

Motivation Flux balance analysis, and its variants, are widely used methods for predicting steady-state reaction rates in biochemical reaction networks. The exploration of high dimensional networks with such methods is currently hampered by software performance limitations.

Results *DistributedFBA.jl* is a high-level, high-performance, open-source implementation of flux balance analysis in Julia. It is tailored to solve multiple flux balance analyses on a subset or all the reactions of large and huge-scale networks, on any number of threads or nodes.

Availability The code and benchmark data are freely available on *github.com/opencobra/COBRA.jl*. The documentation can be found at *opencobra.github.io/COBRA.jl*.

Contact *ines.thiele@uni.lu*

1 Introduction

Constraint-Based Reconstruction and Analysis (COBRA) [9] is a widely used approach for modeling genome-scale biochemical networks and integrative analysis of omics data in a network context. All COBRA predictions are derived from optimisation problems, typically formulated in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{v\in\mathbb{R}^n} \quad & \psi(v) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Sv = b \\ & Cv \leq d \\ & l \leq v \leq u, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ represents the rate of each biochemical reaction, $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a lower semi-continuous and convex function, $S \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$ is a stoichiometric matrix for m molecular species and n reactions, and b is a vector of known metabolic exchanges. Additional linear inequalities (expressed as a system of equations with matrix C and vector d) may be used to constrain combinations of reaction rates and keep reactions between upper and lower bounds, u and l respectively.

In flux balance analysis (FBA) one obtains a steady-state by choosing a coefficient vector $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and letting $\psi(v) := c^T v$ and $b := 0$. However, the biologically correct coefficient vector is usually not known, so exploration of the set of steady states relies on the embarrassingly parallel problem of solving (1) for many c. Moreover, while $c^T v^\star$ is unique for an optimal flux vector $v^\star$, there may be alternate optimal solutions. In flux variability analysis (FVA), one finds the extremes for each optimal reaction rate by choosing a coefficient vector $d \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with one nonzero entry, then minimising and maximising $\psi(v) := d^T v$, subject to the additional constraint $d^T v \geq \gamma \cdot c^T v^\star$ for each reaction in turn ($\gamma \in]0, 1[$).

For kilo-scale models ($n \simeq 1,000$), the $2n$ linear optimisation problems required for FVA can currently be solved efficiently using existing methods, e.g., *FVA* of the COBRA Toolbox, *fastFVA*, or the *COBRApy* implementation [3, 4, 11]. However, these implementations perform best when using only one computing node with a few cores, which becomes a temporal limiting factor when exploring the steady state solution space of larger models. Julia is a high-level, high-performance dynamic programming language for technical computing [1]. Here, we exploit Julia to distribute sets of FBA problems and compare its performance to existing implementations.

2 Overview and implementation

DistributedFBA.jl, part of a novel *COBRA.jl* package, is implemented in Julia, and makes use of the high-level interface *MathProgBase.jl* [6] (see Supplementary Material). A key feature is the integrated capability of spawning synchronously any number of processes to local and remote workers. *COBRA.jl* extends the COBRA Toolbox [11] while existing COBRA models [8] can be input.

3 Benchmark results

DistributedFBA.jl and *fastFVA* [4] were benchmarked on a set of models of varying dimension (Table 1). All experiments were run on several DELL R630 computing nodes with 2x36 threads and 768GB RAM running Linux. As Julia is a just-in-time language, precompilation (warm-up) was done on a small-scale model before benchmarking [8]. The creation of a parallel pool

of workers and the time to spawn the processes are not considered in the reported times.

Model	m	n	*Ref.*
Recon1	2,785	3,820	[10]
Recon2	5,063	7,440	[7]
Recon3	7,866	12,566	[2]
Recon2+11M	19,714	28,199	[12]
Multi-organ	47,123	61,230	[13]

Table 1: Sizes of S for benchmark models.

The serial performance of both implementations is within 10%. The uninodal performance of *fastFVA* is slightly higher on a few threads, but the performance of *distributedFBA.jl* is superior for a higher number of threads on a single node (Fig. 1 A). The way the FBA problems are distributed among workers (distribution strategy s, see Supplementary Material) yields an additional speedup of 10-20% on a larger number of threads.



Figure 1: Performance of *distributedFBA* for the benchmark models given in Table 1. **A**: Speedup factor relative to *fastFVA* as a function of threads and distribution strategy s (1 node). **B**: Multi-nodal speedup in latency and Amdahl's law ($s = 0$).

According to Amdahl's law, the theoretical speedup factor is $\left(1 - p + \frac{p}{N}\right)^{-1}$, where N is the number of cores and p is the fraction of the code (including the model) that can be parallelised. The fraction p increases with an increasing model size (Fig. 1 B). The maximum speedup factor for a very large number of cores N is $(1-p)^{-1}$. This demonstrates that for high dimensional models, it is critical to have a large number of threads on multiple high-memory nodes to accrue a significant speedup.

4 Discussion

The multi-nodal performance of *distributedFBA.jl* is unparalleled: the scalability of *distributedFBA.jl* matches theoretical predictions, and resources are optimally used. Key advantages are that the present implementation is open-source, platform independent, and that no pool size limits, memory, or node/thread limitations exist. Its uninodal performance is similar to the performance of *fastFVA* on a few threads, and about 2-3 times higher on a larger number of threads. A key reason is the direct parallelisation capabilities of Julia and the wrapper-free interface to the solver. The unilingual and easy-to-use implementation relies on solvers written in other languages, allows the analysis of large and huge-scale biochemical networks in a timely manner, and lifts the analysis possibilities in the COBRA community to another level.

Acknowledgement

Funding: This study was funded the National Centre of Excellence in Research (NCER) on Parkinson's disease and by the U.S. Department of Energy, Offices of Advanced Scientific Computing Research and the Biological and Environmental Research as part of the Scientific Discovery Through Advanced Computing program, grant #DE-SC0010429.
Conflict of Interest: none declared.

References

[1] Bezanson, J. *et al.* (2014) Julia: A Fresh Approach to Numerical Computing, arXiv:1411.1607 [cs.MS].
[2] Brunk, E. *et al.* (2016) Recon 3d: A Three-Dimensional View of Human Metabolism and Disease, *in preparation.*
[3] Ebrahim, A. *et al.* (2013) COBRApy: COnstraints-Based Reconstruction and Analysis for Python, *BMC Systems Biology*, **7**(74).
[4] Gudmundsson, S. *et al.* (2010) Computationally efficient flux variability analysis, *BMC Bioinformatics*, **11**(1), 489.
[5] Kornblith, S. *et al.* (2012) Support for reading and writing MATLAB files in Julia, *GitHub code.*
[6] Lubin, M. *et al.* (2015) Computing in Operations Research using Julia, *INFORMS Journal on Computing*, **27**(2), 238–248, doi:10.1287/ijoc.2014.0623.
[7] Noronha, A. *et al.* (2016) The Virtual Metabolic Human database: a comprehensive metabolic resource of human and human associated microbes, *in preparation.*
[8] Orth, J. D. *et al.* (2010) Reconstruction and Use of Microbial Metabolic Networks: the Core Escherichia coli Metabolic Model as an Educational Guide., *EcoSal Plus*, **1**(10).
[9] Palsson, B. *et al.* (2015) *Systems Biology: Constraint-based Reconstruction and Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Edition 1.
[10] Reed, J. L. *et al.* (2015) Towards multidimensional genome annotation, *Nature Reviews Genetics*, **7**(2), 130–41.
[11] Schellenberger, J. *et al.* (2011) Quantitative prediction of cellular metabolism with constraint-based models: the COBRA Toolbox v2.0, *Nature protocols*, **6**, 1290–1307.
[12] Thiele, I. *et al.* (2016) Recon 2 and 11 Microbes, Prototype model, *unpublished. Final version due to be published.*
[13] Thiele, I. *et al.* (2016) Multi-organ model (prototype), *unpublished. Final version due to be published.*

Supplementary Material

DistributedFBA.jl is part of *COBRA.jl* (see Fig. 2). The COBRA module wraps *load.jl*, *distributedFBA.jl*, and *solve.jl*. The input to the COBRA module is a *.mat* file that contains data of a COBRA model as defined in [11]. This HDF5 model is loaded using the *MAT.jl* module [5]. Additionally, solver configuration parameters that are set in *solverCfg.jl*. are input to the COBRA module.



Figure 2: Overview of the *COBRA.jl* package - v0.1.

A parallel pool with either local or remote workers (using *connect.jl*) may be created using either the Julia REPL or a driver. The COBRA module and its dependencies, such as *MathProgBase.jl* [6] and solver interfaces, are spawned from the host node to each worker with the macro *@everywhere*. This ensures that the full model and the solver interfaces are available on each worker (including the host), although only a subset of the FBA problems are solved on each worker. The results are assembled on the host and fetched from the workers independent of the size of the parallel pool.

The core functions for distributing and solving multiple FBA problems are defined in the COBRA module. The main function within the COBRA module is *distributedFBA()* defined in *distributedFBA.jl*, which loads the model from file (*load.jl*: *loadModel()*), builds the LP model (*solve.jl: buildCobraLP()*), and maximises or minimises the LP problem (*solve.jl: solveCobraLP()*) on the spawned processes with a different set of FBA problems using *distributedFBA.jl: loopFBA()*. Before the LP problems are solved, additional constraints may be added to the model using *distributedFBA.jl: preFBA!()*. The FBA problems are distributed using *distributedFBA.jl: splitRange()* according to the splitting strategy s, which is based on the sorted column density vector ρ_c of the stoichiometric matrix S:

- $s = 0$:*Blind splitting*: default random distribution
- $s = 1$: *Extremal dense-and-sparse splitting*: every thread receives dense and sparse reactions, starting from *extremal* indices of ρ_c
- $s = 2$: *Central dense-and-sparse splitting:* every thread receives dense and sparse reactions, starting from the *central* indices of ρ_c

The COBRA module may be tested using *runtests.jl*, which also checks the computing node configuration (*checkSetup.jl*), and confirms that a compatible and working solver installation is present.